

## **PUBLIC FORUMS UNDERWAY IN CALIFORNIA CONSUMER PRIVACY ACT RULEMAKING**

Jan 14, 2019

The California Consumer Privacy Act (“CCPA”), was passed last summer as a compromise to avoid a highly restrictive privacy regime slated to appear on the November 2018 ballot in California. Amidst much controversy and debate, the California Attorney General’s Office is set to draft implementing regulations for the new law. As part of that process, six public rulemaking workshops have been scheduled for the public to provide comments and voice concerns. The first took place on January 8, 2019 in San Francisco and was attended by a cross-section of trade associations and privacy advocacy groups. Although the public comments covered a variety of topics, a few themes emerged:

- Industry groups want to more closely align the CCPA’s provisions with other privacy regulations such as the European Union’s General Data Protection Regulation (“GDPR”). Many businesses have already undertaken the Herculean task of coming into compliance with the EU law. They propose establishing a safe harbor under the CCPA for businesses that are GDPR compliant.
- Several comments were directed to one of the more controversial provisions of the CCPA - the “right to equal service and price,” which refers to the CCPA’s prohibition against discriminating against consumers who exercise their rights under the CCPA not to provide personal information. This anti-discrimination provision does, however, allow businesses to offer different prices or levels of service if the difference is **“reasonably related to the value provided to the consumer by the consumer’s data.”** Several parties commented that the phrase “the value provided to the consumer by the consumer’s data” is ambiguous. Retail industry spokespersons expressed concern about the absence of an exception for businesses that offer or maintain loyalty, rewards, or club card programs, and the need for an explicit carve-out for such programs.
- A call for a narrower definition of what constitutes the “sale” of a consumer’s personal information. The CCPA currently defines “sale” as any dissemination of a consumer’s personal information “to another business or third party for **monetary or other valuable consideration.**”

- Other commentators voiced concern that the requirement to authenticate and process consumer opt-out requests could have the unintended consequence of businesses collecting personal information that they would otherwise not collect, or linking data that had not previously been linked to a consumer.

Additional public forums will take place on January 14 in San Diego, January 24 in Riverside, January 25 in Los Angeles, February 5 in Sacramento, and February 13 in Fresno. Once the AG has drafted and promulgated the implementing regulations we can anticipate yet another round of public hearings, and further opportunity for public comment.

For questions or additional information, contact the author, [Goli Mahdavi](#), or any member of our [Data Privacy and Security](#) or [Retail Law](#) teams. For further information about what the CCPA requires, see the Bryan Cave Leighton Paisner [California Consumer Privacy Act \(“CCPA”\) Practical Guide](#).

## RELATED PRACTICE AREAS

- Retail & Consumer Products

## MEET THE TEAM



### **Goli Mahdavi**

San Francisco

[goli.mahdavi@bcplaw.com](mailto:goli.mahdavi@bcplaw.com)

[+1 415 675 3448](tel:+14156753448)

---

This material is not comprehensive, is for informational purposes only, and is not legal advice. Your use or receipt of this material does not create an attorney-client relationship between us. If you require legal advice, you should consult an attorney regarding your particular circumstances. The choice of a lawyer is an important decision and should not be based solely upon advertisements. This material may be “Attorney Advertising” under the ethics and

professional rules of certain jurisdictions. For advertising purposes, St. Louis, Missouri, is designated BCLP's principal office and Kathrine Dixon ([kathrine.dixon@bclplaw.com](mailto:kathrine.dixon@bclplaw.com)) as the responsible attorney.