

## **ELEVENTH CIRCUIT TO CONSIDER WHETHER PRIOR SETTLEMENT MOOTS WEBSITE ACCESSIBILITY CASE**

Mar 30, 2018

The Eleventh Circuit Court of Appeals is set to hear oral arguments on April 11 concerning whether a website accessibility plan pursuant to a prior settlement agreement moots injunctive relief claims under Title III of the Americans With Disabilities Act.

In *Haynes v. Hooters of America, LLC*, the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Florida granted Hooters' motion to dismiss on grounds that the company has already agreed to make its website accessible pursuant to a prior settlement agreement. Judge Robert Scola held that the prior agreement rendered moot the plaintiff's ADA action, since the ADA does not provide for recovery of damages, only injunctive relief. Judge Scola is the judge that previously ruled after trial that Winn Dixie's website was not accessible in violation of the ADA.

Other retailers, including Outback Steakhouse and Panda Express, have also been successful in using the same argument against the same plaintiff to get Florida district courts to dismiss website accessibility cases against them. Panda Express initially lost its motion to dismiss, but convinced the court to reconsider and dismiss the case after the Hooters case was decided. Both the Haynes and Hooters cases are on appeal.

The Eleventh Circuit's decision could have important implications for the success of this mootness argument for other retailers, and in other jurisdictions.

### **RELATED PRACTICE AREAS**

- Retail & Consumer Products

## MEET THE TEAM



### **Merrit M. Jones**

San Francisco

[merrit.jones@bclplaw.com](mailto:merrit.jones@bclplaw.com)

+1 415 675 3435

---

This material is not comprehensive, is for informational purposes only, and is not legal advice. Your use or receipt of this material does not create an attorney-client relationship between us. If you require legal advice, you should consult an attorney regarding your particular circumstances. The choice of a lawyer is an important decision and should not be based solely upon advertisements. This material may be “Attorney Advertising” under the ethics and professional rules of certain jurisdictions. For advertising purposes, St. Louis, Missouri, is designated BCLP’s principal office and Kathrine Dixon ([kathrine.dixon@bclplaw.com](mailto:kathrine.dixon@bclplaw.com)) as the responsible attorney.