

News

NEW STUDY FINDS THAT NFT-SPECIFIC LEGISLATION IS NOT NECESSARY

Mar 19, 2024

BCLP Partner Jeff Wakolbinger was quoted in the Global Legal Post regarding the US Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) and US Copyright Office's joint study affirming that current intellectual property (IP) laws are "adequate" to regulate non-fungible tokens (NFTs), and new legislation could impede evolving technology's development. The study was published in March 2024.

NFTs, powered by blockchain technology, encompass a wide range of applications. The study, first initiated by Senators Patrick Leahy and Thom Tillis, analyzed the impact of NFTs on IP laws. While concerns about potential infringement were raised, the study concluded that current statutory enforcement mechanisms are sufficient to address infringement concerns. Stakeholders highlighted consumer confusion as a common concern, however overall, the study did not recommend NFT-specific legislation, emphasizing the importance of public education and product transparency.

Commenting on the study, Jeff noted he was "not surprised" the report had not recommended any immediate changes to IP laws to address NFTs. "Our IP laws are intended to be broad and forward looking," he said.

RELATED PRACTICE AREAS

Intellectual Property and Technology

MEET THE TEAM



Jeffrey A. Wakolbinger

Chicago jeff.wakolbinger@bclplaw.com +1 312 602 5061

This material is not comprehensive, is for informational purposes only, and is not legal advice. Your use or receipt of this material does not create an attorney-client relationship between us. If you require legal advice, you should consult an attorney regarding your particular circumstances. The choice of a lawyer is an important decision and should not be based solely upon advertisements. This material may be "Attorney Advertising" under the ethics and professional rules of certain jurisdictions. For advertising purposes, St. Louis, Missouri, is designated BCLP's principal office and Kathrine Dixon (kathrine.dixon@bclplaw.com) as the responsible attorney.